1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 AT SEATTLE 4 RICHARD BRADDOCK, 5 Plaintiff, 6 C16-1756 TSZ V. 7 MINUTE ORDER ZAYCON FOODS LLC, et al., 8 Defendants. 9 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable 10 Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge: 11 Individual Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, docket (1) no. 119, is DENIED in part and DEFERRED in part as follows. 12 (a) The Motion is DENIED as to Plaintiff's First and Second Claims. Plaintiff's First and Second Claims remain a part of the Amended Complaint, docket 13 no. 76, and no party has moved for dismissal or summary judgment regarding those claims. Defendants suggest these claims are no longer operative because they were 14 dismissed earlier in the case. See Defs.' Mot. for Partial Summary Judgment, docket no. 119, at 7. Plaintiff's subsequent request for leave to amend, docket no. 55, was 15 granted by the Court, docket no. 74, and Plaintiff realleged federal and state securities 16 fraud claims. See Am. Compl., docket no. 76; Except as to Defendant Kremin, the Motion is DENIED as to the (b) 17 Third Claim for fraud, the Fourth Claim for negligent misrepresentation, and the Fifth Claim for breach of fiduciary duty. There are material issues of fact that preclude 18 summary judgment on those claims; and 19 The Motion is DEFERRED as to the Sixth Claim for breach of contract, all claims relating to Defendant Kremin, and Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for 20 declaratory judgment. 21 (2)Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, docket no. 124, for (a) finding Defendants liable for breach of the Second Amended and Restated Operating 22 Agreement, as amended ("Operating Agreement") and Employment Agreement, and 23

MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	(b) dismissing Defendants' First Counterclaim for declaratory judgment is also DEFERRED.
2	(3) The Court RENOTES the motions, docket nos. 119 and 124, to May 29,
3	2019. The Court SETS oral argument on the deferred issues described above in Paragraphs 1(c) and 2 (the "Deferred Motions") for May 29, 2019, at 1:30 p.m. At oral
4	argument, the parties should address the Deferred Motions with emphasis on the following issues:
5	(a) Whether the Michael John Trust and/or the Saratoga Trust held
6	voting rights and consented to Plaintiff's removal as co-Manager or whether Defendants Giunta and Saratoga retained the voting rights, had the right to consent (<i>see</i>
7	RCW 25.15.251(3)), and consented to Plaintiff's removal as co-Manager. Was any transfer of units in violation of Article 8 of the Operating Agreement and, if so, would the
8	transferors retain the voting rights associated with the transferred units under the Operating Agreement and/or state law;
9	(b) Whether there are any other issues of fact relating to whether 80%
10	consent was obtained for Plaintiff's removal as co-Manager; (c) The proper interpretation of the Operating Agreement as to whether
11	80% consent was necessary and/or obtained for Plaintiff's removal as co-Manager;
12	(d) What claims can be dismissed if the Court concludes Defendants obtained the required 80% consent to remove Plaintiff as co-Manager; and
13	(e) Whether Defendant Kremin can be dismissed as to all claims.
14 15	(4) Plaintiff shall file a supplemental brief not to exceed 20 pages by May 10, 2019, and Defendants shall file a combined responsive brief not to exceed 20 pages by
16	May 17, 2019, addressing the issues outlined in paragraph 3 of this Minute Order. No replies shall be filed.
17	(5) At the hearing on May 29, 2019, the parties should also be prepared to address a trial date and any pretrial deadlines.
18	(6) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of record.
19	Dated this 19th day of April, 2019.
20	William M. McCool
21	Clerk
22	<u>s/Karen Dews</u> Deputy Clerk
23	

MINUTE ORDER - 2